UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

	1)	CASE NO.				
	Plaintiff(s),)	JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYK	(O			
	VS.)					
	,)					
	Defendant(s).)	REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNIN MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f) AND L.R. 16.3(b)				
	1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26	(f) and	L.R. 16.3(b), a meeting was held o	n			
	, 20, and was attended by:counsel for plaintiff(s)						
	counse	l for pl	aintiff(s)				
	counse	l for pla	aintiff(s)				
counsel for defendant(s)							
counsel for defendant(s)							
	2. The parties:						
	have exchanged the pre-dis	covery	disclosures required by Rule26(a)	(1) and			
the Co	ourt's prior order;						
	will exchange such disclos	ures by	/, 20	_ ;			
	have not been required to	make i	nitial disclosures.				

3. The parties recommend the following track:
Expedited Standard Complex Administrative Mass Tort
4. Pursuant to Local Rule 5.1(c) all documents must be electronically filed absent
a showing of good cause.
5. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute
Resolution (ADR) mechanisms:
Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration.
Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after
discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.
6. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United
States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
7. Recommended Discovery Plan:
(a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery
·
<u> </u>
(b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:
(c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:
(d) Defendant's expert report due date:
(e) Expert discovery cut-off date:
8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding
additional parties:
9. Recommended dispositive motion date:
10. Recommended date for a Settlement Conference:
11. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
Attorney for Defendant(s)	
Attorney for Defendant(s)	